## CLAIMS

CLAIMS	
1.	(currently amended) A path-manager-implemented method, comprising:
the pa	th manager receiving one or more demands for service in a mesh network, which
network com	prises a plurality of nodes interconnected by a plurality of links; and
the pa	th manager mapping each of the one or more demands onto a primary path and a
restoration pa	th in the network to generate at least one path plan for the one or more demands in the
network, whe	rein the at least one path plan is generated as a function of (i) one or more cost criteria
associated wi	ith the at least one path plan and (ii) a failure-related cross-connection criterion
associated wi	th the path plan by:
(a)	calculating a set of node-disjoint path pairs for the one or more demands based on the
failure-related cross-connection criterion, wherein a node-disjoint path pair is calculated for each	
demand;	
(b)	identifying primary and restoration paths for each node-disjoint path pair in the set
to generate a path plan for the one or more demands;	
(c)	determining whether the path plan satisfies the failure-related cross-connection
criterion;	
(d)	saving, when the path plan satisfies the failure-related cross-connection criterion, the
path plan;	
(e)	repeating steps (a)-(d) to generate two or more path plans that satisfy the
failure-related	d cross-connection criterion; and
(f)	selecting one of the path plans based on the one or more cost criteria.
2-3.	(canceled)

## available capacity.

5. (canceled)

criteria are a function of at least one of sharing degree, administrative weight, link utilization, and

(previously presented) The invention of claim 1, wherein the one or more cost

6. (previously presented) The invention of claim 1, wherein, when the path plan satisfies the failure-related cross-connection criterion, steps (b)-(d) are repeated with a constraint that excludes each and every saved path plan.

1

2

3

1

2

3

1

2

3

4

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

- 7. (original) The invention of claim 6, wherein steps (b)-(d) are repeated only until the path plan fails the failure-related cross-connection criterion.
- (previously presented) The invention of claim 1, wherein, when the path plan fails
  the failure-related cross-connection criterion, steps (a)-(d) are repeated with a constraint that
  excludes each set of node-disjoint paths.
- 9. (previously presented) The invention of claim 8, wherein, when calculating a set of node-disjoint path pairs for the one or more demands per step (a) fails to find a feasible solution, the failure-related cross-connection criterion is relaxed and steps (a)-(e) are repeated using the relaxed failure-related cross-connection criterion.
- (previously presented) A path manager for a mesh communications network, the manager comprising one or more computing elements, wherein the manager is adapted to:

receive one or more demands for service in the mesh network, which network comprises a plurality of nodes interconnected by a plurality of links; and

map each of the one or more demands onto a primary path and a restoration path in the network to generate at least one path plan for the one or more demands in the network, wherein the at least one path plan is generated as a function of (i) one or more cost criteria associated with the at least one path plan and (ii) a failure-related cross-connection criterion associated with the path plan by:

- (a) calculating a set of node-disjoint path pairs for the one or more demands based on the failure-related cross-connection criterion, wherein a node-disjoint path pair is calculated for each demand:
- (b) identifying primary and restoration paths for each node-disjoint path pair in the set to generate a path plan for the one or more demands;

Serial No. 10/815.123 -3- Aktinson 3-1-28 (990.0525)

determining whether the path plan satisfies the failure-related cross-connection (c) criterion: saving, when the path plan satisfies the failure-related cross-connection criterion, the path plan; (e) repeating steps (a)-(d) to generate two or more path plans that satisfy the failure-related cross-connection criterion; and

selecting one of the path plans based on the one or more cost criteria.

(f) 1 11-12. (canceled)

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 2

3

1

2

3

2

1

2

3

1

2

3

4

- (previously presented) The invention of claim 10, wherein the one or more cost criteria are a function of at least one of sharing degree, administrative weight, link utilization, and available capacity.
  - 14. (canceled)
  - (previously presented) The invention of claim 10, wherein, when the path plan 15. satisfies the failure-related cross-connection criterion, steps (b)-(d) are repeated with a constraint that excludes each and every saved path plan.
- 16. (original) The invention of claim 15, wherein steps (b)-(d) are repeated only until the path plan fails the failure-related cross-connection criterion.
  - (previously presented) The invention of claim 10, wherein, when the path plan fails the failure-related cross-connection criterion, steps (a)-(d) are repeated with a constraint that excludes each set of node-disjoint paths.
  - 18 (previously presented) The invention of claim 17, wherein, when calculating a set of node-disjoint path pairs for the one or more demands per step (a) fails to find a feasible solution, the failure-related cross-connection criterion is relaxed and steps (a)-(e) are repeated using the relaxed failure-related cross-connection criterion.

-4-Serial No. 10/815.123 Aktinson 3-1-28 (990.0525) 19. (previously presented) The invention of claim 10, wherein the failure-related cross-connection criterion specifies a maximum number of cross-connections that are changed in any node in the network following a failure in the network, wherein a path plan does not satisfy the failure-related cross-connection criterion if the number of failure-related cross-connections that are changed in any node in the path plan following a failure in the network exceeds the specified maximum number.

20. (previously presented) The invention of claim 1, wherein the failure-related cross-connection criterion specifies a maximum number of cross-connections that are changed in any node in the network following a failure in the network, wherein a path plan does not satisfy the failure-related cross-connection criterion if the number of failure-related cross-connections that are changed in any node in the path plan following a failure in the network exceeds the specified maximum number.

## 21. (currently amended) A path-manager-implemented method, comprising:

the path manager receiving one or more demands for service in a mesh network, which network comprises a plurality of nodes interconnected by a plurality of links; and

the path manager mapping each of the one or more demands onto a primary path and a restoration path in the network to generate at least one path plan for the one or more demands in the network, wherein the at least one path plan is generated as a function of (a) one or more cost criteria associated with the at least one path plan and (b) a failure-related cross-connection criterion associated with the path plan by:

calculating a first set of one or more path plans that satisfy the one or more cost criteria:

calculating a second set of one or more path plans that satisfy the failure-related cross-connection criterion:

determining whether the first and second sets have any path plans in common; and if not, then, until the first and second sets have at least one path plan in common, relaxing the one or more cost criteria and recalculating the first set. maximum number.

6

2

3

2

3

4

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1

2

3

23. (previously presented) The invention of claim 21, wherein the one or more cost criteria are a function of at least one of sharing degree, administrative weight, link utilization, and available capacity.

24. (previously presented) A path manager for a mesh communications network, the manager comprising one or more computing elements, wherein the manager is adapted to:

receive one or more demands for service in the mesh network, which network comprises a plurality of nodes interconnected by a plurality of links; and

map each of the one or more demands onto a primary path and a restoration path in the network to generate at least one path plan for the one or more demands in the network, wherein the at least one path plan is generated as a function of (a) one or more cost criteria associated with the at least one path plan and (b) a failure-related cross-connection criterion associated with the path plan by:

calculating a first set of one or more path plans that satisfy the one or more cost criteria;

calculating a second set of one or more path plans that satisfy the failure-related cross-connection criterion:

determining whether the first and second sets have any path plans in common; and if not, then, until the first and second sets have at least one path plan in common, relaxing the one or more cost criteria and recalculating the first set.

25. (previously presented) The invention of claim 24, wherein the failure-related cross-connection criterion specifies a maximum number of cross-connections that are changed in any node in the network following a failure in the network, wherein a path plan does not satisfy the

Serial No. 10/815,123 -6- Aktinson 3-1-28 (990.0525)

failure-related cross-connection criterion if the number of failure-related cross-connections that are changed in any node in the path plan following a failure in the network exceeds the specified maximum number.

4

5

2

26. (previously presented) The invention of claim 24, wherein the one or more cost criteria are a function of at least one of sharing degree, administrative weight, link utilization, and available capacity.

Serial No. 10/815,123 -7- Aktinson 3-1-28 (990.0525)